

DOMINATED BILINEAR FORMS AND 2-HOMOGENEOUS POLYNOMIALS

Geraldo Botelho*, Daniel Pellegrino[†] and Pilar Rueda[‡]

Abstract

The main goal of this note is to establish a connection between the cotype of the Banach space X and the parameters r for which every 2-homogeneous polynomial on X is r -dominated. Let $\text{cot } X$ be the infimum of the cotypes assumed by X and $(\text{cot } X)^*$ be its conjugate. The main result of this note asserts that if $\text{cot } X > 2$, then for every $1 \leq r < (\text{cot } X)^*$ there exists a non- r -dominated 2-homogeneous polynomial on X .

Introduction

The notion of p -dominated multilinear mappings and homogeneous polynomials between Banach spaces plays an important role in the nonlinear theory of absolutely summing operators. It was introduced by Pietsch [17] and has been investigated by several authors since then (see, e.g., [5, 6] and references therein).

Let X be a Banach space and m be a positive integer. A continuous m -linear form A on X^m is r -dominated if $(A(x_j^1, \dots, x_j^m))_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_{\frac{r}{m}}$ whenever $(x_j^1)_{j=1}^\infty, \dots, (x_j^m)_{j=1}^\infty$ are weakly r -summable in X . In a similar way, a scalar-valued m -homogeneous polynomial P on X is r -dominated if $(P(x_j))_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_{\frac{r}{m}}$ whenever $(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ is weakly r -summable in X .

In [11, Lemma 5.4] it is proved that for every infinite-dimensional Banach space X , every $p \geq 1$ and every $m \geq 3$, there exists a continuous non- p -dominated m -linear form on X^m . For polynomials the question has been recently settled in [6], where it is proved that for every infinite-dimensional Banach space X , every $p \geq 1$ and every $m \geq 3$, there exists a continuous

*Supported by CNPq Grant 306981/2008-4.

[†]Supported by INCT-Matemática, CNPq Grants 620108/2008-8 (Ed. Casadinho), 308084/2006-3 (Ed. Universal) and 471686/2008-5.

[‡]Supported by Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación MTM2008-03211/MTM.

This paper will appear in Publications of the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences. 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46G25, 46B20, 46B28.

non- p -dominated scalar-valued m -homogeneous polynomial on X . So, coincidence situations can occur only for $m = 2$. Sometimes it happens that every continuous bilinear form on X^2 is 2-dominated, for example if X is either an $\mathcal{L}_\infty$ -space, the disc algebra $\mathcal{A}$ or the Hardy space H^∞ (see [4, Proposition 2.1]). In this case every continuous bilinear form on X^2 and every continuous scalar-valued 2-homogeneous polynomial on X are r -dominated for every $r \geq 2$. But what about r -dominated bilinear forms and 2-homogeneous polynomials for $1 \leq r < 2$?

Those spaces X that enjoy the property that all bilinear forms on X^2 are 1-dominated are all of cotype 2 (Example 2.3). In Proposition 2.4 we see that having cotype $2 + \varepsilon$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$ is a necessary condition. So, for a space X such that $\text{cot } X > 2$ it is natural to investigate how close r can be to 1 with the property that every bilinear form on X^2 (or 2-homogeneous polynomial on X) is r -dominated. For bilinear forms it is not difficult to see (Proposition 2.5) that $(\text{cot } X)^*$, the conjugate of the number $\text{cot } X$, is the closest r can be to 1. As usual, for polynomials the situation is more delicate. In the main result of this paper, Theorem 2.7, we prove that the estimate $(\text{cot } X)^*$ holds for 2-homogeneous polynomials as well. We also point out that this result is somehow sharp.

1 Notation

Throughout this paper n and m are positive integers, X and Y will stand for Banach spaces over $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. The Banach spaces of all continuous m -linear mappings $A: X^m \longrightarrow Y$ and continuous m -homogeneous polynomials $P: X \longrightarrow Y$, endowed with the usual sup norms, are denoted by $\mathcal{L}(^m X; Y)$ and $\mathcal{P}(^m X; Y)$, respectively ($\mathcal{L}(X; Y)$ if $m = 1$). When $m = 1$ and $Y = \mathbb{K}$ we write X^* to denote the topological dual of X . The closed unit ball of X is represented by B_X . The notation $\text{cot } X$ denotes the infimum of the cotypes assumed by X . The identity operator on X is denoted by id_X . For details on the theory of multilinear mappings and homogeneous polynomials between Banach spaces we refer to [10, 14].

Given $r \in [0, \infty)$, let $\ell_r(X)$ be the Banach (r -Banach if $0 < r < 1$) space of all absolutely r -summable sequences $(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ in X with the norm $\|(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty\|_r = (\sum_{j=1}^\infty \|x_j\|^r)^{1/r}$. We denote by $\ell_r^w(X)$ the Banach (r -Banach if $0 < r < 1$) space of all weakly r -summable sequences $(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ in X with the norm $\|(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty\|_{w,r} = \sup_{\varphi \in B_{X^*}} \|(\varphi(x_j))_{j=1}^\infty\|_r$.

Let $p, q > 0$. An m -linear mapping $A \in \mathcal{L}(^m X; Y)$ is absolutely $(p; q)$ -summing if $(A(x_j^1, \dots, x_j^m))_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_p(Y)$ whenever $(x_j^1)_{j=1}^\infty, \dots, (x_j^m)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_q^w(X)$. It is well-known that A is absolutely $(p; q)$ -summing if and only if there is a

constant $C \geq 0$ so that

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|A(x_j^1, \dots, x_j^m)\|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C \prod_{k=1}^m \|(x_j^k)_{j=1}^n\|_{w,q}$$

for every $x_1^k, \dots, x_n^k \in X$, $k = 1, \dots, m$, and n positive integer. The infimum of such C is denoted by $\|A\|_{as(p;q)}$. The space of all absolutely $(p; q)$ -summing m -linear mappings from X^m to Y is denoted by $\mathcal{L}_{as(p;q)}(^mX; Y)$ and $\|\cdot\|_{as(p;q)}$ is a complete norm (p -norm if $p < 1$) on $\mathcal{L}_{as(p;q)}(^mX; Y)$.

An m -homogeneous polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}(^mX; Y)$ is absolutely $(p; q)$ -summing if the symmetric m -linear mapping associated to P is absolutely $(p; q)$ -summing, or, equivalently, if $(P(x_j))_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_p(Y)$ whenever $(x_j)_{j=1}^\infty \in \ell_q^w(X)$. It is well-known that P is absolutely $(p; q)$ -summing if and only if there is a constant $C \geq 0$ so that

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|P(x_j)\|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C (\|(x_j)_{j=1}^n\|_{w,q})^m$$

for every $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ and n positive integer. The infimum of such C is denoted by $\|P\|_{as(p;q)}$. The space of all absolutely $(p; q)$ -summing m -homogeneous polynomials from X to Y is denoted by $\mathcal{P}_{as(p;q)}(^mX; Y)$ and $\|\cdot\|_{as(p;q)}$ is a complete norm (p -norm if $p < 1$) on $\mathcal{P}_{as(p;q)}(^mX; Y)$.

An m -homogeneous polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}(^mX; Y)$ is said to be r -dominated if it is absolutely $(\frac{r}{m}; r)$ -summing. In this case we write $\mathcal{P}_{d,r}(^mX; Y)$ and $\|\cdot\|_{d,r}$ instead of $\mathcal{P}_{as(\frac{r}{m};r)}(^mX; Y)$ and $\|\cdot\|_{as(\frac{r}{m};r)}$. As usual we write $\mathcal{P}_{d,r}(^mX)$ and $\mathcal{P}(^mX)$ when $Y = \mathbb{K}$. The definition (and notation) for r -dominated multilinear mappings is analogous (for the notation just replace $\mathcal{P}$ by $\mathcal{L}$). For details we refer to [2, 4, 11].

2 Results

First we establish the existence of Banach spaces on which every bilinear form (hence every scalar-valued 2-homogeneous polynomial) is 1-dominated. By $X \tilde{\otimes}_\pi X$ and $X \tilde{\otimes}_\varepsilon X$ we mean the completions of the tensor product $X \otimes X$ with respect to the projective norm π and the injective norm ε , respectively. For the basics on tensor norms we refer to [8, 19].

By Π_r we denote the ideal of absolutely r -summing linear operators. The following well-known factorization theorem (see, e.g., [17, Theorem 14] or [2, Proposition 46(a)]) will be useful a couple of times.

Lemma 2.1. $\mathcal{L}_{d,r}(^mX; Y) = \mathcal{L} \circ (\Pi_r, (\cdot)^{(m)}, \Pi_r)(^mX; Y)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{d,r}(^mX; Y) = \mathcal{P} \circ \Pi_r(^mX; Y)$ regardless of the positive integer m and the Banach spaces X and Y .

Proposition 2.2. Let X be a cotype 2 space. Then $X \tilde{\otimes}_\pi X = X \tilde{\otimes}_\varepsilon X$ if and only if $\mathcal{L}_{d,1}(^2X) = \mathcal{L}(^2X)$.

Proof. Arguably this result is contained, in essence, in [11]. We give the details for the sake of completeness.

Assume that $X \tilde{\otimes}_\pi X = X \tilde{\otimes}_\varepsilon X$ and let $A \in \mathcal{L}({}^2X)$. Denoting the linearization of A by A_L we have that $A_L \in (X \tilde{\otimes}_\pi X)' = (X \tilde{\otimes}_\varepsilon X)'$. Regarding X as a subspace of $C(B_{X'})$ and using that ε respects the formation of subspaces, A_L admits a continuous extension to $C(B_{X'}) \tilde{\otimes}_\varepsilon C(B_{X'})$, hence to $C(B_{X'}) \tilde{\otimes}_\pi C(B_{X'})$ because $\varepsilon \leq \pi$. Using that bilinear forms on $C(K)$ -spaces are 2-dominated, we have that the bilinear form associated to this extension is 2-dominated. But restrictions of 2-dominated bilinear forms are 2-dominated as well, so A is 2-dominated. Since 2-summing operators on cotype 2 spaces are 1-summing [9, Corollary 11.16(a)], we have that $\Pi_1(X; Y) = \Pi_2(X; Y)$ for every Y , so by Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{d,2}({}^2X) = \mathcal{L} \circ (\Pi_2, \Pi_2)({}^2X) = \mathcal{L} \circ (\Pi_1, \Pi_1)({}^2X) = \mathcal{L}_{d,1}({}^2X).$$

It follows that A is 1-dominated.

Conversely, assume that $\mathcal{L}_{d,1}({}^2X) = \mathcal{L}({}^2X)$ and let $A \in \mathcal{L}({}^2X)$. Since 1-dominated bilinear forms are 2-dominated, we have that A is 2-dominated, hence extendible by [13, Theorem 23]. Adapting the proof of [7, Proposition 1.1] to bilinear forms we conclude that A is integral. Now apply [8, Ex. 4.12] to get $X \tilde{\otimes}_\pi X = X \tilde{\otimes}_\varepsilon X$. $\square$

Example 2.3. Pisier [18] proved that every cotype 2 space E embeds isometrically in a cotype 2 space X such that $X \tilde{\otimes}_\pi X = X \tilde{\otimes}_\varepsilon X$. So for every such space X we have that $\mathcal{L}_{d,1}({}^2X) = \mathcal{L}({}^2X)$.

It is easy to see that $\cot X = 2$ is a necessary condition for every bilinear form on X to be 1-dominated:

Proposition 2.4. *If $\mathcal{L}_{d,1}({}^2X) = \mathcal{L}({}^2X)$, then $\cot X = 2$.*

Proof. By [1, Lemma 3.4] we have that every bounded linear operator from X to X' is 1-summing. So, from [12, Proposition 8.1(2)] we conclude that the identity operator on X is (2;1)-summing. It follows that $\cot X = 2$ by [9, Theorem 14.5]. $\square$

Let X be such that $\cot X > 2$. Since we cannot have $\mathcal{L}_{d,1}({}^2X) = \mathcal{L}({}^2X)$, for which $r > 1$ is it possible to have $\mathcal{L}_{d,r}({}^2X) = \mathcal{L}({}^2X)$? Or, at least, $\mathcal{P}_{d,r}({}^2X) = \mathcal{P}({}^2X)$? In other words we seek estimates for the numbers

$$\mathcal{L}_X := \inf\{r : \mathcal{L}_{d,r}({}^2X) = \mathcal{L}({}^2X)\} \text{ and } \mathcal{P}_X := \inf\{r : \mathcal{P}_{d,r}({}^2X) = \mathcal{P}({}^2X)\}.$$

It is not difficult to give a lower bound for $\mathcal{L}_X$. By q^* we mean the conjugate of the number $q > 1$.

Proposition 2.5. *If $\cot X > 2$, then $\mathcal{L}_X \geq (\cot X)^*$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.4 we know that $\mathcal{L}_{d,1}(^2X) \neq \mathcal{L}(^2X)$. Using that $\Pi_1(X; Y) = \Pi_r(X; Y)$ whenever $1 \leq r < (\cot X)^*$ [9, Corollary 11.16(b)] and Lemma 2.1, we have that

$$\mathcal{L}_{d,r}(^2X) = \mathcal{L} \circ (\Pi_r, \Pi_r)(^2X) = \mathcal{L} \circ (\Pi_1, \Pi_1)(^2X) = \mathcal{L}_{d,1}(^2X) \neq \mathcal{L}(^2X)$$

for every $1 \leq r < (\cot X)^*$, so the result follows. $\square$

It is in principle not clear that the same holds for polynomials. For polynomials the situation is usually more delicate. For instance, in [6] one can find a non- r -dominated bilinear form whose associated 2-homogeneous polynomial happens to be r -dominated. Though not clear in principle, we shall prove that $\mathcal{P}_X \geq (\cot X)^*$.

The following proof extends an argument which was first used in this context in [15].

Theorem 2.6. *Let m be an even positive integer and X be an infinite dimensional real Banach space. If $q < 1$ and $\mathcal{P}_{as(q;r)}(^mX) = \mathcal{P}(^mX)$, then id_X is $(\frac{mq}{1-q}, r)$ -summing.*

Proof. The open mapping theorem gives us a constant $K > 0$ so that $\|Q\|_{as(q;r)} \leq K\|Q\|$ for all continuous m -homogeneous polynomials $Q: X \rightarrow Y$.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ be given. Consider $x_1^*, \dots, x_n^* \in B_{X^*}$ so that $x_j^*(x_j) = \|x_j\|$ for every $j = 1, \dots, n$. Let $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$ be real numbers such that $\sum_{j=1}^n |\mu_j|^s = 1$, where $s = \frac{1}{q}$. Define $P: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$P(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n |\mu_j|^{\frac{1}{q}} x_j^*(x)^m \text{ for every } x \in X.$$

Since m is even and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, it follows that $P(x) \geq 0$ for every $x \in X$. Also, $|P(x)| = P(x) \geq |\mu_k|^{\frac{1}{q}} x_k^*(x)^m$ for every $x \in X$ and every $k = 1, \dots, n$. From

$$|P(x)| = \left| \sum_{j=1}^n |\mu_j|^{\frac{1}{q}} x_j^*(x)^m \right| \leq \|x\|^m \sum_{j=1}^n |\mu_j|^{\frac{1}{q}} = \|x\|^m$$

we conclude that $\|P\|_{as(q;r)} \leq K\|P\| \leq K$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|^{mq} |\mu_j| \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \left(\|x_j\|^m |\mu_j|^{\frac{1}{q}} \right)^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^n |P(x_j)|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \|P\|_{as(q;r)} (\|(x_j)_{j=1}^n\|_{w,r})^m. \end{aligned}$$

Observing that this last inequality holds whenever $\sum_{j=1}^n |\mu_j|^s = 1$ and that $\frac{1}{s} + \frac{1}{\frac{s}{s-1}} = 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|^{\frac{s}{s-1}mq} \right)^{\frac{1}{\frac{s}{s-1}}} &= \sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_j \|x_j\|^{mq} \right| ; \sum_{j=1}^n |\mu_j|^s = 1 \right\} \\ &\leq \sup \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n |\mu_j| \|x_j\|^{mq} ; \sum_{j=1}^n |\mu_j|^s = 1 \right\} \\ &\leq \|P\|_{as(q;r)}^q (\|(x_j)_{j=1}^n\|_{w,r})^{mq} \\ &\leq K^q (\|(x_j)_{j=1}^n\|_{w,r})^{mq}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \|x_j\|^{\frac{s}{s-1}mq} \right)^{\frac{1}{(\frac{s}{s-1})mq}} \leq K^{\frac{1}{m}} \cdot \|(x_j)_{j=1}^n\|_{w,r}.$$

Since $\frac{s}{s-1}mq = \frac{mq}{1-q}$, n and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ are arbitrary, we conclude that id_X is $(\frac{mq}{1-q}, r)$ -summing. $\square$

The following theorem holds for spaces over $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$:

Theorem 2.7. *If $\cot X = q > 2$, then $\mathcal{P}_{d,r}(^2X) \neq \mathcal{P}(^2X)$ for $1 \leq r < q^*$, where q^* is the conjugate of q . In other words, $\mathcal{P}_X \geq q^*$.*

Proof. Real case: Let $1 \leq r < q^*$. Combining Lemma 2.1 and [9, Corollary 11.16(b)] it is immediate that $\mathcal{P}_{d,r}(^2X) = \mathcal{P}_{d,1}(^2X)$. If $\mathcal{P}_{d,r}(^2X) = \mathcal{P}_{d,1}(^2X) = \mathcal{P}(^2X)$, from Theorem 2.6 we could conclude that id_X is $(2; 1)$ -summing, but this is impossible because $\cot X > 2$.

Complex case: If X is a complex Banach space, $\cot X = q > 2$ and $1 \leq r < q^*$, by [3, Lemma 3.1] we know that $\cot X_{\mathbb{R}} = q > 2$, so there is a non- r -dominated polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}(^2X_{\mathbb{R}})$. Denoting by $\tilde{P}$ the complexification of P we have that $\tilde{P} \in \mathcal{P}(^2X)$ and following the lines of [16, Proposition 4.30] it is not difficult to prove that $\tilde{P}$ fails to be r -dominated either. $\square$

Remark 2.8. Let X be any of the spaces constructed by Pisier [18]. By Example 2.3 we know that $\mathcal{P}_{d,1}(^2X) = \mathcal{P}(^2X)$, which makes clear that Theorem 2.7 is sharp in the sense that it is not valid for cotype 2 spaces.

Conjecture. We conjecture that if X is infinite-dimensional and $\mathcal{L}_{d,1}(^2X) = \mathcal{L}(^2X)$, then $X \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi} X = X \tilde{\otimes}_{\varepsilon} X$. Observe that for an infinite-dimensional space X with $\mathcal{L}_{d,1}(^2X) = \mathcal{L}(^2X)$ and $X \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi} X \neq X \tilde{\otimes}_{\varepsilon} X$, if any, we should have:

- X has no unconditional basis [4, Theorem 3.2];
- X has cotype $2 + \varepsilon$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$ (Proposition 2.4);

- X does not have cotype 2 (Proposition 2.2);
- X' is a GT space [11, Theorem 3.4];
- Every linear operator from X to X' is absolutely 1-summing (by [1, Lemma 3.4] this is a consequence of $\mathcal{L}_{d,1}({}^2X) = \mathcal{L}({}^2X)$), in particular X is Arens-regular;
- Not every linear operator from X to X' is integral (this is a consequence of $X \tilde{\otimes}_\pi X \neq X \tilde{\otimes}_\varepsilon X$).

References

- [1] G. Botelho, *Cotype and absolutely summing multilinear mappings and homogeneous polynomials*, Proc. Roy. Irish Acad Sect. 5A **97** (1997), 145-153.
- [2] G. Botelho, *Ideals of polynomials generated by weakly compact operators*, Note Mat. **25** (2005/2006), 69-102.
- [3] G. Botelho, H.-A. Braunss, H. Junek and D. Pellegrino, *Inclusions and coincidences for multiple summing multilinear mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **137** (2009), 991-1000.
- [4] G. Botelho and D. Pellegrino, *Scalar-valued dominated polynomials on Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **134** (2006), 1743-1751.
- [5] G. Botelho, D. Pellegrino and P. Rueda, *Pietsch's factorization theorem for dominated polynomials*, J. Funct. Anal. **243** (2007), 257-269.
- [6] G. Botelho, D. Pellegrino and P. Rueda, *Dominated polynomials on infinite dimensional spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
- [7] D. Carando, *Extendibility of polynomials and analytic functions on ℓ_p* , Studia Math. **145** (2001), 63-73.
- [8] A. Defant and K. Floret, *Tensor norms and operator ideals*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies **176**, North-Holland, 1993.
- [9] J. Diestel, H. Jarchow, A. Tonge, *Absolutely summing operators*, Cambridge University Press 1995.
- [10] S. Dineen, *Complex Analysis on Infinite Dimensional Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, London, 1999.
- [11] H. Jarchow, C. Palazuelos, D. Pérez-García and I. Villanueva, *Hahn-Banach extension of multilinear forms and summability*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **336** (2007), 1161-1177.
- [12] J. Lindenstrauss and A. Pełczyński, *Absolutely summing operators in $\mathcal{L}_p$ spaces and their applications*. Studia Math **29** (1968), 275-326.
- [13] Y. Meléndez and A. Tonge *Polynomials and the Pietsch Domination Theorem*, Proc. Roy. Irish Acad Sect. A **99** (1999), 195-212.
- [14] J. Mujica, *Complex analysis in Banach spaces*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies **120**, North-Holland, 1986.
- [15] D. Pellegrino, *Cotype and absolutely summing homogeneous polynomials in $\mathcal{L}_p$ spaces*, Studia Math. **157** (2003), 121-131.

- [16] D. Pérez-García, *Operadores multilineales absolutamente sumantes*, Thesis, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, 2003.
 - [17] A. Pietsch. *Ideals of multilinear functionals*, Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Operator Algebras, Ideals and Their Applications in Theoretical Physics, 185-199, Teubner-Texte, Leipzig, 1983.
 - [18] G. Pisier, *Counterexamples to a conjecture of Grothendieck*, Acta Math. **151** (1983), 181-208.
 - [19] R. Ryan *Introduction to tensor products of Banach spaces*, Springer, 2002.
- [Geraldo Botelho] Faculdade de Matemática, Universidade Federal de Uberlândia, 38.400-902 - Uberlândia, Brazil, e-mail: botelho@ufu.br.
- [Daniel Pellegrino] Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal da Paraíba, 58.051-900 - João Pessoa, Brazil, e-mail: dmpellegrino@gmail.com.
- [Pilar Rueda] Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Universidad de Valencia, 46.100 Burjasot - Valencia, Spain, e-mail: pilar.rueda@uv.es.